Community detection in growing networks

Matúš Medo IFFS, UESTC, Chengdu

Motivation

"As long as there will be networks, there will be people looking for communities in them." — Fortunato and Hric, 2016

A model growing network



- Typical information network:
 - News relating to each other
 - Scientific citations

. .

A model growing network



Goal: Find the communities

Network modularity (static)

• Popular approach to community detection: maximize the modularity function (Girvan & Newman, 2002)



The failure

Community detection with static modularity



The failure

Community detection with static modularity



...that makes you smile :-)

What went wrong



If time matters, the link expectation term is wrong

Modularity for growing networks



Modularity for growing networks

all network's links





The success





Temporal modularity with 8 layers (L = 8)

How many layers?

1) Compute median link timespan λ

2) Use $L^* = N / \lambda$ layers

Fast aging \Rightarrow Short links \Rightarrow Many layers

Temporal modularity in action



Dashed line: L chosen by the median link timespan

Temporal modularity in action



Real data

 APS citation data: Subsets defined by PACS codes

2) News citation data (Spitz and Gertz, 2015): Subsets represent different news outlets

Evaluation on real data

- Observation on model data:
 - Wrong "static" communities are short





• Correct "temporal" communities are long

Community detection with temporal modularity



node appearance time



Evaluation on real data

Communities determined by node age are short Increasing community length is a good sign

Results on real data





1) Static modularity fails on growing networks when aging is fast

2) Temporal modularity simply works

Many thanks to colleagues: Manuel Mariani, Zhuo-Ming Ren, An Zeng, and Yi-Cheng Zhang